## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

(Previously presented) A method comprising the steps of:

from among races at an event having a plurality of horse races, allowing a player to choose winners of each of a plurality of the event's races to be included in a unified wager, the choices available to the player permitting the player to choose horses in a non-consecutive plurality of races from among the event's races; and

paying the player if a number of the selected winners within the unified wager win corresponding chosen races.

(Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein:

the player indicates multiple horses for at least one race of the event,

3. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein:

the choices available to the player permit the player to choose horses in five nonconsecutive races.

(Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein:

the player's unified wager pays only if all of the selected horses win corresponding chosen races

5. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1 wherein:

the player's unified wager pays if fewer than all selected horses win their races.

(Previously presented) A method performed by a player to wager on horse racing, comprising the steps of:

from among races at an event having a plurality of horse races, selecting one or more horses in each of a plurality of event races to be included in a unified wager, the choices available to the player permitting the player to choose horses in a non-consecutive plurality of chosen races from among event races to form the unified wager; and

receiving a payout if a number of selected horses within the unified wager perform consistent with the unified wager in corresponding chosen races.

- (Previously presented) The method of claim 6 further comprising the step of: receiving from the player a bet on multiple contestants for a single contest.
- (Previously presented) The method of wagering on horse races of claim 6 further comprising the step of:

paying the player if at least one of the selected contestants places in a corresponding selected event contest.

 (Currently amended) A computer assisted method, comprising the steps of: publishing race information associated with a racing event;

receiving from each of a plurality of players respective selections of predicted winners for each of a plurality of races at the racing event the selections available to each player permitting the player to choose horses in a non-consecutive plurality of races from among the event's races, the selections of each player included in <u>respective</u> [[a]] unified respective wagers corresponding to [[that]] respective players;

receiving from each of the plurality of players a bet associated with the wager corresponding to that player;

pooling at least a portion of each bet to form a pool; receiving results from races within the racing event; identifying a set of winning players from the plurality of players by determining which of one or more players of the plurality of players correctly selected predicted winners in their respective selections; and

sending at least a portion of the pool to one or more identified winning players.

- 10. (Previously presented) The computer assisted method of claim 9 wherein: the selection of races and predicted winners is associated with a game card.
- 11. (Previously presented) The computer assisted method of claim 10 wherein: the number of races that must be selected by each player is established by a sponsor of the wagering system.
- 12. (Previously presented) The computer assisted method of claim 9 wherein: the players are further provided the opportunity to indicate multiple horses for at least one race.
- 13. (Previously presented) The computer assisted method of claim 9 wherein: for at least one player of the plurality of players the first selection of races is a subset of nonconsecutive races.
  - 14. (Previously presented) The computer assisted method of claim 9, wherein: the players respectively select a single horse for each selected race.

Application Serial No. 10/784,353 Attorney Docket No. 04-7131

Amendment Dated May 12, 2008 – Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2008

15. (Previously presented) A method comprising the steps of:

receiving from a user a selection of event contests, from among the plurality of contests within an event, and a selection of contestants in each of a plurality of the event's contests, and

establishing a unified wager based on the outcome of the selected contestants, the selections

available to the user include selecting contestants from a non-consecutive plurality of contests

within the event; and

paying the user a winning on the unified wager based on the performance of the selected

contestants in the selected contests.

16. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15 further comprising the step of:

receiving from the user a bet on multiple contestants for a single contest.

17. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15 further comprising paying the user if

a predetermined number of the selected contestants wins a corresponding selected event contest.

18-21. (Canceled)

22. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15 wherein:

the user's unified wager pays if fewer than all selected contestants win their respective

contests in the event.

23. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15 further comprising the step of:

after one or more contests are decided, receiving a further unified wager on the terms of

claim 15 from a user based on the remaining contests of the event.

24. (Previously presented) The method of claim 15 further comprising:

paying the user if at least one of the selected contestants places in a corresponding

selected event contest.

Application Serial No. 10/784,353 Attorney Docket No. 04-7131

Amendment Dated May 12, 2008 - Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2008

(Previously presented) An apparatus comprising:

a processor having an interface operable to:

receive from a user a selection of contestants in each of a plurality of contests at

the event, the interface permitting the user to select contestants in a non-consecutive plurality of

contests from among the event contests on which to wager, the selected contestants forming a

unified wager; and

the processor being further operable to determine whether the unified wager is a winning

wager, based at least in part on a number of the selected contestants that win the corresponding

selected event contests.

26. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 25, the interface further operable to

receive from the user a bet on the selected contestants.

27. (Previously presented) Logic embodied in a computer readable medium, the logic

comprising code operable to:

receive from a user a selection from among the plurality of contestants in contests at an available to the user including selecting contestants from a non-consecutive plurality of contests

event, a selection of contestants in each of several contests within an event, the selection

within the event to form a unified wager; and

determine whether the user is a winner, based on a number of selected contestants within

a unified wager won the corresponding selected event contests.

28. (Previously presented) The logic of claim 27, further comprising code operable to

receive from the user a bet on the selected contestants.

29. (Previously presented) The method of claim 6 further comprising the step of:

placing a bet on the selected winners.

AMENDMENT IN REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT This paper dated May 12, 2008

04-7131 S/N 10/784.353

page

30. (Previously presented) A method comprising the steps of:

receiving a first wager associated with a first player, wherein the first wager identifies a winner for each of a first plurality of event contests selected by the first player, and a first wager amount, the choices available to the first player including choosing winners of a non-consecutive plurality of contests from among the contests of the event to form a first unified wager;

receiving a second wager associated with a second player, wherein the second wager identifies a winner for each of a second plurality of event contests selected by the second player, and a second wager amount, the choices available to the second player including choosing winners of a non-consecutive plurality of contests from among the contests of the event to form a second unified wager;

adding the first wager amount and the second wager amount to a betting pool; and determining at least one winning player for the pool, based at least in part on correct identification of winners for each of the event contests selected by that player within that player's unified wager.

31. (Previously presented) The method of claim 30, wherein: at least one of the event contests selected by the first player is not included in the plurality of event contests selected by the second player.

32. (Previously presented) The method of claim 30, wherein: the first player selects contest winners after completion of the first contest of the event.

33. (Previously presented) The method of claim 30, further comprising the step of: receiving a third wager associated with a third player after an event contest in one of the first plurality of event contests and the second plurality of event contests has begun, wherein the third wager identifies a third plurality of winners for each of the third plurality of event contests, and a third wager amount.

Amendment Dated May 12, 2008 - Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2008

34. (Previously presented) The method of claim 30, further comprising calculating a payout amount for the at least one winning player based on a total of the betting pool.

35. (Previously presented) The method of claim 34, further comprising initiating a payout of the payout amount to the at least one winning player.

36. (New) The computer assisted method of claim 9 further comprising the step of: receiving an additional wager associated with an additional player after a race of the racing event has begun, wherein the additional wager identifies an additional plurality of winners for an additional plurality of the races at the racing event, and an additional wager amount.

37. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

receiving from each of a plurality of players respective selections of predicted winners for each of a plurality of races at the racing event the selections available to each player permitting the player to choose horses in a non-consecutive plurality of races from among the event's races, the selections of each player included in respective unified respective wagers corresponding to respective players;

receiving from each of the plurality of players a bet associated with the wager corresponding to that player;

pooling at least a portion of each bet to form a pool;

receiving results from races within the racing event;

identifying a set of winning players from the plurality of players by determining which of one or more players of the plurality of players correctly selected predicted winners in their respective selections; and

sending at least a portion of the pool to one or more identified winning players.

38. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

receiving the wager, being a first wager, associated with the player, being a first player, wherein the first wager identifies a winner for each of a first plurality of event contests selected Amendment Dated May 12, 2008 - Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2008

by the first player, and a first wager amount, the choices available to the first player including choosing winners of a non-consecutive plurality of contests from among the contests of the event to form the first unified wager:

receiving a second wager associated with a second player, wherein the second wager identifies a winner for each of a second plurality of event contests selected by the second player, and a second wager amount, the choices available to the second player including choosing winners of a non-consecutive plurality of contests from among the contests of the event to form a second unified wager;

adding the first wager amount and the second wager amount to a betting pool; and determining at least one winning player for the pool, based at least in part on correct identification of winners for each of the event contests selected by that player within that player's unified wager;

the paying of the player being based at least in part on the determining step.

page

9 of 15